Introducing Adaptive filters Based on Shadow Concept for Speech Processing
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Abstract
This paper presents the new approach to introducing adaptive Filter with LMS Algorithm based on Shadow concept. Which is useful for the cancellation of the noise component overlap with Speech signal in the same frequency range, but fixed LMS algorithm produces minimum convergence rate and fixed steady state error. So we presents design, implementation and performance of adaptive FIR filter, based on Shadow concept, which produces minimum mean square error compare to fixed LMS, and we also obtains denoised Speech signal at output, and also we propose to calculate SNR values of Adaptive Filter with LMS algorithm with and without Shadow concept.
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1. Adaptive Filters
Rapid Advances in the VLSI technology and digital communications/digital signal processing has broughtmore attention to the adaptive least squares (LS) methods [1]. Many digital signal processing applications requires linear filters and adaptive techniques in signal processing and analysis [2]. The reference and error channels of active noisecontrol (ANC) systems may be saturated in real-world applications if the noise level exceeds the dynamic range of the electronic devices. This nonlinear saturation degrades the performance of ANC systems that use linear adaptive filters with the filtered-least-mean-square (FLMS) algorithm [3]. Adaptive filters have been included in the syllabus of undergraduate digital signal processing (DSP) courses [4]. The LMS algorithm has been extensively used in many applications as a consequence of its simplicity and robustness [5]. LMS based adaptive filters used in all sparse systems for noise Cancellation [6]. Adaptive algorithms are applicable to system identification and modeling, noise and interference cancelling, equalization, signal detection and prediction. [7] LMS Algorithm is widely used in a variety of applications, ranging from speech enhancement and biomedical signal processing to active control of sound and vibration [8]. Adaptive Filters are widely used in numerous industrial applications. Acoustics, communications, automatic control and seismology [9]. Information processing in variable and noisy environments usually accomplished by means of adaptive filters [10]. Adaptive filtering is frequently employed in communications, control, and many other applications in which the statistical characteristics of the signals to be filtered are either unknown a priori or, in some cases, slowly time varying [11]. Adaptive filters provide performance excellence due to their inherent pole-zero structure as compared with adaptive finite impulse response (FIR) filters that have an all-zero form, in active noise control Application [12]. RLS Filters [13]. Adaptive Filters are highly stable and effectively attenuate and often cancel destitutions [14]. An Adaptive filters are successfully used in biomedical processing systems like Denoising of ECG Waveforms [15] Adaptive filters play an important role in modern Digital signal processing products in area such as telephone echo cancellations, noise cancellation, equalization of communications channels, biomedical signal enhancement, active noise control, and adaptive control systems [16] and many Authors are worked out on FIR Filters using different Transform techniques [17] to [20].
2. Shadow Mechanism

Shadow Mechanism is successfully used in improving the spectral characteristics of windows [21] Shadow based filters are used in cardiac signal processing for elimination of noises [22].

3. Design of Adaptive Filter with Fixed LMS Algorithm

The Figure 1 shows the block diagram of Adaptive filter with Fixed LMS Algorithm. Which processes the noised speech signal through it. Where
s(n) = clean speech signal  
v(n) = noise signal  
h = Low pass FIR Filter  
v1(n) = h * v(n)  
d(n) = noised speech signal, [s(n)+v1(n)]  
y(n) = Filtered Noise signal  
e(n) = d(n)-y(n), [Original speech signal]  
the adjustable weights are typically determined by the LMS Algorithm, the weight update equation is  
w(n+1) = w(n)+µ*e(n)*v1(n)  
y(n) = w(n)+e(n)*v1(n)

Steps to design adaptive Filter with Fixed LMS
1. Create or record actual speech signal.  
2. Create or record a noise signal.  
3. Correlate noise by passing through a low pass filter.  
5. Pass this merged signal to Adaptive filter using Fixed LMS Algorithm.  
6. Calculate error e(n)  
7. Update weight equation w(n)  
8. Repeat step 7 and calculate adaptive output y(n) until error is minimized.  
9. Calculate input SNR and output SNR

4. Design of Adaptive Filter with Fixed LMS Algorithm based on Shadow Concept

The Figure 2 shows the block diagram of Adaptive filter with Fixed LMS Algorithm with Shadow concept In shadow filter mechanism the Low pass filter output is feedback either positively or negatively by a shadow filter of same type or different type. Here we used the shadow mechanism to find best combination for different values of \( \beta \). Hence we can derive expression of the transfer function for the shadow mechanism with positive feedback connection is,

\[ \tilde{h}(n) = \frac{\text{Low pass Filter}}{1-(\beta \times \text{Low pass Filter})} \]

\[ \tilde{h}(n) = \frac{h}{1-(\beta \times h)}, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 1 \]

\[ v1(n)=v(n)^*\tilde{h}(n) \]

\[ d(n)=\text{noised speech signal}, \ [s(n)+v1(n)] \]
5. Results and Implementations

The results shows responses of the Adaptive filter with LMS Algorithm, and we applied a noise signal to Speech and compares the signal to noise ratio of Noised signal before and after the filtering for kaiser window, which is shown in Figure 3.
When the Noised speech is filtered with Adaptive Filter with Fixed LMS algorithm the whole noise was removed, producing a near clean signal of Figure 4 to Figure 6 with different ‘β’ values of shadow FIR Filter for Kaiser window. SNR, Steady state error are computed for adaptive filter based on without shadow and with shadow concept are shown in Table 1, Table 2 Respectively.
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Figure 5. Denoised speech for $\beta=0.4; 0.5; 0.6$

Figure 6. Denoised speech for $\beta=0.7; 0.8; 0.9$

Table 1. Comparison of SNR of before and after filtering of speech signal and MSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO</th>
<th>WINDOW</th>
<th>SNR before Filtering in dB</th>
<th>SNR after Filtering in dB</th>
<th>MSE (Mean Square Error)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.0549</td>
<td>1.5176e-012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Comparison of SNR and MSE for Kaiser window and shadow factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.NO</th>
<th>WINDOW</th>
<th>β</th>
<th>SNR after Filtering in d B</th>
<th>MSE (Mean Square Error)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0582</td>
<td>1.2204e-012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.0614</td>
<td>1.0022e-012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0643</td>
<td>8.3850e-013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.0671</td>
<td>7.1330e-013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.0697</td>
<td>6.1598e-013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.0720</td>
<td>5.3923e-013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.0740</td>
<td>4.7795e-013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.0756</td>
<td>4.2850e-013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.0769</td>
<td>3.8824e-013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Kaiser</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.0777</td>
<td>3.5522e-013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusion

The Implementation of Adaptive-FIR Filter using shadow concept for Kiaser window was performed. And we also applied a noised speech signal to Adaptive filter and obtained denoised wave form at output which is shown in Figure 3. Later we shown responses of shadow based Adaptive filter from Figure 4 to Figure 6 for different shadow factors. We compared SNR, mean square error (MSE) at input and Output which are shown from Table 1, table 2 respectively. From the above discussions it is concluded that shadow based adaptive filter produces better responses in terms of SNR and MSE compared to Fixed Adaptive filter and Enhancement of speech signal from noised speech signal.
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